Status of Implementation of Court/CAT Judgements

Sl No	**Petition/ OA No with date**	**Name of Court/ Bench of CAT**	**Date of Judgement**	**Time frame if any given by Court for Implemen-tation**	**Major Issues**	**Whether the Appeal/ Review Application against the Judgement has been filed by Govt/Individual, if so, the date thereof**	**Status of the Appeal/ Review Application**	**If no Appeal etc, has been filed, present status of action taken to implement the Judgement**	**Reason for delay in imple-menting the Judge-ment**	**Whether any Contempt Petition has been filed for delay in implementation or against the manner of implementation of the Judgement**
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
1.	SLP No 26820/2011 vide Diary No 2043/11 in r/o Shri RV Subra-manian, LIO	Supreme Court	-	-	High Court Madras Bench ordered for redesignation of the individual as Library and Information Officer (LIO) wef 01 Jan 02 with all consequential benefits. (The officer has been promoted as LIO with effect from 02 May 12 through normal channels).	-	Apex Court vide orders dated 29 Apr 13 has directed, **pending appeal**, to pay the revised pay scale applicable to LIO from the date the officer completed eight years of service. Arrears to be paid within three months.	A sum of ₹ 1,99,740/- paid to the officer on 27 Jul 13, as arrears towards grant of higher scale of pay.	NA	NA

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
2.	Review Petition No 233 of 2014 in WP No 28705/2011 in the case of Shri Mandru Seshiah, Ex Lascar	High Court of Madras	-	-	The individual indulged in a quarrel with the wife and daughter of Shri R Balraj, co-worker in the govt accommodation, causing grievous injuries to both the wife and daughter of the co-worker. Inquiry convened under Rule 14 of CCS (CC&A) Rules 1965 held the individual guilty and subsequently awarded the penalty of Compulsory Retirement. His appeal with the Appellate Auth and COAS were turned down. Aggrieved by the orders, the individual filed the OA praying for reinstatement with backwages. CAT Madras Bench vide orders dt 22 Jul 10 has directed to reinstate the applicant in service with liberty to take fresh action on the basis of the findings in criminal case, if called for. High Court vide orders dt 09 Nov 12 dismissed the WP and upheld the orders of CAT.	The Apex Court vide Orders dt 28 Mar 14, as intimated vide HQ IDS (Pers) letter No 15722/MS /DSSC/- IDS/PERS dt 16 Apr 14, has dismissed the SLP with the liberty to file a Review Applica-tion in High Court, Chennai within **four weeks**.	**Review Petition has been filed in the High Court, Madras Bench on 28 Apr 14, ie, within four weeks, in strict conformity with the Orders dt 28 Mar 14 of the Apex Court. The Petition has been numbered as 233/2014 which has not been listed for admission.**	-	-	The applicant Shri Mandru Seshiah, Ex Lascar, has filed Contempt Application No 310/0126/2014 during Aug 14, which same has been fwd by the Registrar CAT Madras Bench vide letter dt 08 Dec 14 and received by this College on 31 Dec 14. Counter statement on the Contempt Application filed in the Hon'ble CAT Madras Bench on 12 Feb 15. Hearing has been scheduled for 20 Feb 15.

….3/-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
3.	OA 731 of 2012 filed by Shri N Sekaran, D'Man Gde II	CAT Madras Bench	-	-	The Applicant was appointed as D'Man on 01 Jan 99 in the scale of pay Rs 4000-6000. He was subsequently promoted as D'Man Level II wef 01 Jun 02 and was awarded the next higher scale of Rs 4500-7000 as has been the case with other promotees, in accordance with RRs. However, he requested to be placed in the scale of pay Rs 5000-8000 which was turned down by HQ IDS vide letter No 15597/DM/DSSC/-IDS/-PERS dated 02 Feb 12. Aggrieved by the orders, he has filed the OA.	-	Date of next hearing not notified after hearing on 13 Feb 15.	-	NA	NA
4.	OA 719 of 2013 filed by Shri S Ramesh Babu	CAT Madras Bench	-	-	The applicant contends that on the selection of candidate for the post of Carpenter during Jan 13, undue favouritism was given. He states that he possesses higher qualification and outperformed during the test/interview than the selected candidate. Though the selected candidate meets the minimum qualification and possesses a valid cert issued by the Govt of Tamil Nadu, the applicant alleges that the selected candidate underwent Carpenter course in a private institution.		Hearing scheduled for 06 Mar 15.			

…4/-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
5.	OA 310/00264 of 2014 filed by Shri RV Subramanian LIO	CAT Madras Bench	-	-	On obtaining relevant details from HQ IDS (Pers) on revision of RRs for the post of Joint Director (Coord), [JD (Coord)] by Shri C Ganesan, MTS, under RTI Act, the applicant has filed the OA in which he has alleged that he is more qualified than the Establishment Officer and as such he has to be considered over Establishment Officer for the post of Joint Director (Coord). The applicant has prayed through the OA that pending final disposal of the OA, the Tribunal may be pleased to grant an interim injunction forbearing the Respondents 1 to 3 of the OA to put on hold the new post of JD (Coord) and not to patronize the 4th Respondent (Establishment Officer) or any other person to the peril of the applicant and other eligible incumbents.	-	-	As directed by the Govt Counsel, Interim replies were filed in CAT when the hearings came up on 11 Mar & 10 Apr 14 in which it has been prayed to dismiss the OA under Section 29(2) (b) of The Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. The case has been adjourned without notifying the date after hearing on 05 Dec 14. In the meantime, the applicant has filed a Rejoinder to the counter statement, draft parawise comments on which has been fwd to the Govt Counsel/Dept of Legal Affairs, for vetting.		